TENA OBJECT MODELING TOOL

Evaluation Report

Prepared by

The TENA Validation Team

Fort Huachuca, AZ

20-Jan-98

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to evaluate a list of candidate object modeling tools capable of scripting detailed scenarios and comparing those scenarios to the existing TENA object model. As outlined in the tasking letter distributed at the TENA planning meeting of 13 February 1997 (conducted at the TEC building at Alexandria, VA), the goal of this tool is to "...build an executable model of the TENA Object Model Conceptual View and execute each of the scenarios supplied by the Scenario Generation group. The goal is to identify objects, operations, or attributes which need to be added or modified to execute the representative scenario. (Using this tool,) the collection of scenarios will also identify objects, operations, or attributes which do not seem necessary in the model..."

To accomplish this product evaluation, the Fort Huachuca model validation team performed the

following steps. The team:

- 1. Created a matrix of criteria used to evaluate each tool.
- 2. Subjectively weighted each criterion to determine importance.
- 3. Canvassed sources and compiled a list of candidate products which may potentially achieve the goal.
- 4. Using the criteria matrix, compiled a numerical score for each candidate.
- 5. Formally reported the findings and provided a recommendation.

The criteria used to evaluate the candidates along with a brief description and the relative weighting of each are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Weighted Criteria

Weight Name Description

- 5 SCENARIO SCRIPTING CAPABILITY The ability of the candidate tool to permit the creation and execution of the detailed scenario.
- 4 MODELING TECHNIQUES The ability of the candidate tool to support various object SUPPORTED modeling techniques (e.g., UML, OMT).
- 4 REPORTING CAPABILITY The ability of the product to graphically or tabularly report correlation, differences, and/or coverage resulting from comparisons between scenarios and models.
- 3 DOCUMENTATION The quality of the product's supporting documentation.
- 3 GRAPHICS CAPABILITY The ability of the candidate tool to present results graphically; i.e., in an easily understood manner.
- 3 PRODUCT COST The purchase price of the tool under consideration.
- 2 CUSTOMER SUPPORT The quality of customer support once the product has been procured.
- 2 EASE OF USE The ability for a novice user to "spin up" on the use of the product.
- 2 IMPORT CAPABILITY The ability for the candidate to import and translate the existing TENA model into its own protocol.
- 2 PLATFORM FLEXIBILITY The ability of the candidate tool to operate on a variety of platforms.
- 1 DEMONSTRATION RESULTS The results of any kind of demonstration attempted

BACKGROUND

Object-oriented modeling and design is a relatively new way of thinking about problems organized around real-world concepts. The fundamental concept, of course, is the object, which combines both data structure and behavior in a single object. Many analysts and designers see object-oriented modeling as the emerging wave of the future and numerous people -- Coad, Schlaer, Mellor, et. al. -- seem to be jockeying for position to try to make their mark on the industry. Since 1990, however, three individuals -- James Rumbaugh, Grady Booch, and Ivar Jacobson -- have emerged as leaders in the object-oriented modeling and design world and have established standards which clearly serve as the baseline to which all other techniques are compared.

A fourth individual -- Bob Brown, creator of the IDEF1X data modeling technique -- is also impacting the industry with his IDEF_{Object} object modeling approach. IDEF1X has already been mandated as the DoD data modeling standard and one could assume that IDEF_{Object}, an extension of the IDEF1X standard, controls the inside track to follow suit in the DoD object modeling world. Brown is currently working on an IDEF_{Object} IEEE standard as a participant in the IEEE 1320.2 Standards Committee. However, the IDEF_{Object} standard is still under development, and no commercial IDEF_{Object} modeling tool exists to date. Accordingly then, Rumbaugh, Booch, and Jacobson techniques currently dominate the object-oriented modeling and design spotlight.

Rumbaugh, Booch, and Jacobson have each put a slightly different emphasis on his approach to object modeling. James Rumbaugh, whose object modeling methodology is referred to as the *Object Modeling Technique (OMT)* contends that object model development consists of four stages: analysis, system design, object design, and implementation. To complement these four stages of development, the OMT methodology uses three kinds of models to describe a system: Object Model, Dynamic Model, and Functional Model. The existing TENA Object Model is an example of an OMT Object Model; it characteristically describes the static structure of system objects along with their relationships. The dynamic OMT model describes aspects of a system which change over time. Event trace diagrams depicting how a scenario is executed by objects in the object model are an integral part of the OMT Dynamic Model. The Functional Model describes data value transformations in the system using data flow diagrams.

Grady Booch views object-oriented design as "...the method that leads us to an object-oriented decomposition; object-oriented design defines a notation and process for constructing complex software systems, and offers a rich set of logical and physical models with which we may reason about different aspects of the system under construction..." Like Rumbaugh, Booch suggests that object-oriented design centers around the Object Model, consisting of objects and relationships, although the syntax used to represent the Object Model differs from that offered by the OMT approach. Booch suggests using six types of diagrams to represent the Object Model. Class and object diagrams provide a static, logical representation. Module and process diagrams provide a static, physical representation. Finally, state transition and timing diagrams provide a dynamic view of the object model.

Ivar Jacobson also suggests that object-oriented design centers around the Object Model as part

of his OOSE methodology. Unlike Rumbaugh and Booch, however, Jacobson emphasizes the use of object-oriented technology to support business re-engineering. Accordingly, he promotes the use of two kinds of model: the Use Case Model and the Object Model. Jacobson's Use Case Model, for which he is renowned, consists of actors (which interact with the business) and use cases (which are a flow of events that an actor wants performed in the business). Jacobson defines a scenario as a use case instance. Jacobson contends that the creation of the Use Case Model to establish requirements is the first step toward object-oriented design. The second step is to progress from the Use Case Model and create a full Object Model using three types of objects: interface objects, control objects, and entity objects.

A few years ago, Rumbaugh, Booch, and Jacobson joined forces as members of Rational, Inc. The result of this collaboration was a new "modeling language" that Rational advertises as the answer to everyone's needs. This Unified Modeling Language (UML) also included contributions from other methodologists, software vendors, and users. The UML adopted Jacobson's use case diagramming techniques as well as scenario diagramming techniques including sequence diagrams (very similar to the OMT event trace diagram) and collaboration diagrams.

While the work of Rumbaugh, Booch, and Jacobson is not the only approach to object-oriented modeling and design, it is reasonable to state that their techniques are currently ahead of the pack with respect to setting the pace in the object-oriented modeling and design world. Accordingly, of the 11 criteria used to evaluate the products, the ability of the candidate to use industry-standard modeling and diagramming techniques and effectively report results was rated second only to the ability to script scenarios and compare them to the object model. System documentation quality, the ability to graphically display results, and product cost rounded out the top six criteria.

One final issue deserves mention. Within the scope of this study, it was impossible to develop an in-depth working knowledge of each of the candidate products. The results of this task are based on demonstrations, documentation, web site information, personal contact with vendors, and interviews with individuals who either use or have used an older version of the product. One point that consistently comes across is that there is no "magic" way of *informally* implementing a scenario and have it "run through the paces" of automatically flushing out missing requirements. What can be done, however, is to systematically create one or more forms of detailed scenario diagrams which demonstrate interaction between objects and automatically correlate to objects, attributes, and methods which exist in the object model -- in turn identifying missing components required to execute the detailed scenario.

SUMMARY of FINDINGS

Eight commercial object modeling tools were evaluated based on recommendations provided by TENA team members, independent sources, and available literature. *System Architect 4.0* by Popkin, Inc. and *Rational Rose 4.0* by Rational, Inc. emerged as the two leading candidates to achieve the validation goals. The results of the product evaluation are summarized in the table and graph presented in Figure 1.

	Candidate	Version	Manufacturer	Total Score
	SYSTEM ARCHITEC	Γ 4.0	Popkin, Inc.	229
	RATIONAL ROSE	4.0	Rational, Inc.	216
	OBJECT DOMAIN	1.19	Object Domain Systems	184
	TOGETHER/C++	2.1	Object International	167
	OBJECTIME	5.0	ObjecTime, Inc.	120
	VISIO	5.0	Visio, Inc.	115
	RETHINK	Unknown	GENSYM	115
OOWIN	1.0	Logicworks, Inc.	31	

DETAILED FINDINGS

The detailed evaluations are presented in Enclosures 1 through 3. Enclosure 1 provides an overview of each of the eight products and the total score

assigned to each. The report is sorted by score. The overviews are primarily based on web site information and literature provided by the commercial vendors. Enclosure 2 provides a detailed breakout of how each product was rated based on the individual criteria. Each criterion score has a range of 1 through 10, where 10 is the maximum allotted. Each criterion is weighted on a scale of 1 through 5, where 5 is the maximum allotted. The Evaluation Report is sorted alphabetically by candidate name. Finally, Enclosure 3 summarizes the strongest and weakest features of each product.

Scenario Generation

Tool

Summary Report

Candidate Version Description Total Score

SYSTEM ARCHITECT enables you to perform 229

4.0 SA Object, System Architect's object-oriented analysis and design module,

recursive analysis, design, and implementation of your system using your choice of the leading

object-oriented methodologies:

- •Booch
- •OMT/Rumbaugh
- •Shlaer/Mellor
- •Coad/Yourdon
- •Jacobson Use Cases

You can select a methodology to use or brew your own by selecting a combination of diagram types from various methodologies. For example, you can use Jacobson Use Cases to find the objects in your system, use a Booch Class Diagram as the main static analysis diagram for your system, use OMT Event Trace and Event Flow Diagrams to model scenarios in the system and the messages being passed between objects, and use Shlaer/Mellor State Diagrams and Action Data Flow Diagrams to model the dynamic behavior of classes in the system. SA Object's code generation and importing capabilities enable you to perform recursive analysis and design of your system using an object-oriented class-type diagram (OMT Object Diagram, Booch Class Diagram, or Shlaer/Mellor Information Model). You don't have to do all of your analysis at once; you just analyze a piece of the system, add design details to the diagram, and implement it by generating C++ header (.HPP) and skeleton (.CPP) files. You can make design changes to your code, and then import that code (.HPP file) back into the class-type diagram and let System Architect automatically update the diagram to reflect the code. You then continue adding analysis and design details to the diagram, implement it again, and continue through the cycle until you are satisfied with your design.

RATIONAL ROSE 4.0 The Rational Rose® product family is designed to provide the software developer with a complete set of 216

visual modeling tools for development of robust, efficient solutions to real business needs in the client/server, distributed enterprise and real-time systems environments. Rational Rose products share a

common universal standard, making modeling accessible to nonprogrammers wanting to model business processes as well as to programmers modeling applications logic. Rational Rose/C++, a visual modeling tool, is specifically designed for C++ developers who need to keep their visual application models synchronized with their implementation. Designers are supported in component management and can utilize components for their application assembly in C++ design. Rational Rose/C++ has all the functionality of Rational Rose Modeler, with additional support for C++, including support for round-trip engineering to various C++ environments and for leading C++ class libraries and frameworks.

Enclosure 1 Page 1 of 3

Candidate Version Description Total Score

OBJECT DOMAIN 1.19 Object Domain is a software development tool for object-oriented analysis and design. It is both powerful 184

and affordable with many of the features found in CASE tools costing much more. It fully supports the latest Booch methodology and notation as well as OMT class and state diagrams. An embedded scripting language (TCL) allows custom code and documentation generation. In addition to the the modeling and diagramming tool, we also include the C++ Analyzer, an application to reverse engineer C++ code into class diagrams. Object Domain is a 32-bit application that runs under Windows 95, Windows NT, and Windows 3.1/3.11 with Win32s.

TOGETHER/C++ 2.1 Together/C++ is a powerful design and development environment that bridges the chasm between design 167

and implementation that has traditionally plagued CASE tools. Together/C++ provides a robust object modeling and design tool that accelerates development by silently generating syntactically correct C++ code as a designer manipulates the object model. Thus, the hand-off from design to development is actually the framework for the project itself.

Together C++ uses an innovative approach to C++ code generation and reverse-engineering. The tool uses the source code as the repository. Together C++ generates C++ code for every diagram element, on-the-fly and from within the IDE. If you change the source code manually or import new modules into the project, a keystroke makes Together C++ rescan all the files using "fuzzy-parser" technology. Diagrams are always in sync with the source code.

OBJECTIME 5.0 ObjecTime Developer is an object-oriented software development tool designed specifically for 120

event-driven, real-time applications. It is used to build and execute graphical models of event-driven

systems and to automatically convert these models into high performance C++ code. ObjecTime can be used at all stages of the software development life cycle-from requirements and analysis to design and implementation. The software makes it possible for developers to compile, execute, and validate graphical models of real-time systems. Its model-visualization capabilities including animation of state machines and message flow (including message sequence charts), and debugging facilities. And, with ObjecTime Developer 5.0, the software's powerful modeling capabilities have been extended to the implementation phase of product development.

For the first time, developers can build graphical models of their designs and animate these models on both development and target platforms. ObjecTime Developer 5.0 is the only tool that provides such a powerful graphical observation and control capability on the target environment

Enclosure 1 Page 2 of 3

Candidate Version Description Total Score

VISIO 5.0 Visio Professional—the only software program specifically designed to help you visualize information 115

infrastructures, create superior databases, plan software systems, and analyze business processes. Visio Professional comes with nearly 1,000 network and telecom shapes (including 640 manufacturer-specific shapes) and a complete set of wizards that can automatically:

- •Analyze and diagram your corporate network or Web site.
- •Reverse-engineer an ODBC-compliant database to create an Entity-Relationship diagram.
- •Generate (forward-engineer) a Microsoft Access database based on a diagram.
- •Export a UML Static Structure Diagram to the MS Repository for exchange with other CASE tools.

What's more, you'll find all the shapes you need to plan and document software projects. Choose from object-oriented design methodologies such as UML, Booch, ORM, Rumbaugh, and Schlaer-Mellor, or data-modeling methodologies, including Bachman, Chen, and Martin. If your job involves planning, creating or managing information systems and processes, Visio Professional is the ideal tool for you.

RETHINK UnknownGensym's ReThink(r) is a powerful and flexible software tool for reengineering, analyzing, optimizing, 115

and managing complex business and production processes. It combines object-oriented modeling and animation to create graphical models that effectively represent the dynamic behavior of business processes. After building a process model, ReThink's simulation and "what-if?" capabilities allow managers to optimize the process by exploring different operating scenarios. The validated model can then be put on line to support intelligent real-time decision making. With ReThink, multiple users can concurrently develop multi-level object-oriented process models. Computer animation techniques bring process models to life by showing the flow of information and material as tasks are performed. ReThink users can simulate and benchmark the performance of proposed operating scenarios in order to test re-engineering ideas before implementation. Complex questions like, "How will restructuring the process impact my customer response time?" or "How can the overall cost of this process be improved?" can be answered quickly and directly. Then, the model can be used on line to augment existing enterprise information systems with intelligent decision support.

how objects behave and interact. OOWIN is no longer being marketed by Logicworks, Inc. OOWIN features have been incorporated into Rational

Scenario Generation

Tool

Candidate	Versio	n Criter	ion	Score	Weight Weighted Score Comments
OBJECT DOM	IAIN	1.19			
	SCENA	ARIO	3	5	Object Domain documentation indicates there is a
					capability to create Interaction Diagrams to show
					the execution of a scenario, but only as
					"basically the same information as the Object
					Diagram but organized differently."
REPORT CAPAI	BILITY	2	4	8	Available documentation indicates there is an
					ability to "generate documentation," but does not
					provide confidence that the reporting capability is
					sufficient to support TENA requirements.
MODEL TECHN	IQUES	7	4	28	Object Domain gets points for using industry
					standard modeling techniques OMT and Booch.
					Toggling back and forth from OMT to Booch
					seems very straightforward. The product appears
					to be capable of displaying diagrams as Class
					Diagrams, State Transition Diagrams, Object
					Diagrams, Interaction Diagrams, Module
					Diagrams, and Process Diagrams.
DOCUMENTAT	ION	5	3	15	Documentation investigated includes web page
					information and the help file available with the
					demonstration product. The help file included
					with the demo application is a bit weak.
GRAPHICS	8	3	24	Object	Domain emphasizes its graphics capabilities

as part of its ease of use attribute.

COST 9 3 27 Inexpensive product. Registration cost for the

shareware product is \$99.00.

Enclosure 2 Page 1 of 17

Scenario Generation

Tool

Evaluation Report

Candidate	Version	Criterio	on	Score	Weight	Weighted Score	Comments
CUST SUPPORT	5	2	10	Interface	with custo	omer support has not	been
						required t	o date.
EASE OF USE	8	2	16	Object Do	omain app	pears to be reasonably	y easy for a
						novice user to learn	n to use. In fact, this issue and
						its inexpensive cost	is clearly pointed out on the
						web page.	
IMPORT 10	2	20	Stronges	t feature fo	or the TEN	NA application. No	
						need to import, since	e the existing model is in
						Object Domain.	
PLATFORM	7	2	14	Documen	ntation is u	ınclear what platform	ns the
						product runs on, alti	hough it definitely runs on the
						Intel 586 Windows	chip.
DEMO 7	1	7	The Obje	ect Domair	demonst	ration consists of an	
						easily obtainable, st	raightforward, downloadable,
						executable version of	of Object Domain 1.19. A
						textual tutorial is als	so available.

Total Score: 184

Enclosure 2 Page 2 of 17

Scenario Generation

Tool

		•						
Candidate	Version	n Criteri	on	Score	Weight	Weight	ted Score	Comments
OBJECTIME	5.0							
SCENARIO	4	5	20	Convers	ations with	n technica	ıl representa	tives
						indicate	"we could	d probably do something like
						what we	re attempti	ng to do" Emphasis lies
						clearly o	on real-time	software development.
						Supports	s a scenario	generation technique called
						"messag	ge sequence	charts."
MODEL TECHNI	QUES	1	4	4	ObjecTir	me uses p	roprietary n	nodeling techniques
							to graphic	ally model real-time software
						page,	developm	ent projects. From the web
						oriented		me Developer is an object-
						specifica		levelopment tool designed
						It is used		driven, real-time applications.
						of	to build a	nd execute graphical models
						automat		en systems and to
						performa	convert thance C++	ese models into high
						UML, o		es not support OMT, Booch,
							Jacobson	techniques.
REPORT CAPAB	ILITY	3	4	12	ObjecTir	ne does n	ot support J	acobson Use Case or
						scenario	o techniques	s. While reporting capabilities
					capabilit		, and a "mes	ssage sequence chart"
						also exi	ists, the scer	nario scripting goal does not
						appear to	o be as stroi	ngly supported by this product

as by o	ther	candidates.
---------	------	-------------

GRAPHICS	8	3	24	For the correct application real-time software		
					development the graphics capability appears to	
					be very capable. Features animated state machine	
					diagrams.	
DOCUMENTAT	ION	5	3	15	Documentation research centered around the web	
					page. Difficult to evaluate. Other factors likely	
					preclude the product as a valid candidate.	

Enclosure 2 Page 3 of 17

Scenario Generation

Tool

Candidate	Version	Criterio	n	Score	Weight	Weighted Score Comments
COST 2	3	6	Prohibi	tively expe	ensive. Per	the sales
						representative, one license of ObjecTime costs
						\$25,000.
IMPORT 1	2	2	Based or	n informat	ion availab	ole on the web,
						ObjecTime apparently does not support OMT,
						Booch, or UML techniques. Unlikely to be
						compatible with existing model.
CUST SUPPORT	5	2	10	Interface	with custo	omer support has not been
						required to date.
EASE OF USE	6	2	12	Based or	n the demo	onstration, ObjecTime appears
						to be reasonably easy to use specifically in a
						real-time software development environment. It
						appears to have a nice real-time software code
						generation capability.
PLATFORM	4	2	8	Apparen	tly does no	ot run on Windows 95; does run
						on Windows NT and UNIX.

DEMO 7 1 7 The ObjecTime demonstration is run from the

web. The demonstration is well done, although it does not permit hands-on interaction by the user.

Total Score: 120

Enclosure 2 Page 4 of 17

Scenario Generation

Tool

Candidate	Version	Criterio	on	Score	Weight Weighted Score Comments
OOWIN	1.0				
SCENARIO	1	5	5	OOWIN	is no longer being marketed by
					Logicworks. OOWIN has been incorporated by
					Rational as part of the CRC modeling solution.
REPORT CAPAB	ILITY	1	4	4	OOWIN is no longer being marketed by
					Logicworks. OOWIN has been incorporated by
					Rational as part of the CRC modeling solution.
MODEL TECHNIC	QUES	1	4	4	Extremely limited. Apparently supports "Class,
					Responsibility, and Collaboration (CRC)" style
					data modeling only at this time.
COST 1	3	3	OOWIN	I is no lon	ger being marketed by
					Logicworks. OOWIN has been incorporated by
					Rational as part of the CRC modeling solution.
DOCUMENTATIO	ON	1	3	3	OOWIN Documentation comes in a typical
					package consisting of a User's Guide and Methods
					Guide.
GRAPHICS	1	3	3	OOWIN	I is no longer being marketed by
					Logicworks. OOWIN has been incorporated by
					Rational as part of the CRC modeling solution.

CUST SUPPORT 1 2 2 OOWIN is no longer being marketed by

Logicworks. OOWIN has been incorporated by

Rational as part of the CRC modeling solution.

IMPORT 1 2 2 OOWIN is no longer being marketed by

Logicworks. OOWIN has been incorporated by

Rational as part of the CRC modeling solution.

Enclosure 2 Page 5 of 17

Scenario Generation

Tool

Evaluation Report

Candidate	Version	Criteri	on	Score W	eight Weight	ed Score Comments
EASE OF USE	1	2	2	OOWIN is	reasonably easy	to load, use, and come
						up to speed on.
PLATFORM	1	2	2	OOWIN is	no longer being	marketed by
					incorpor	Logicworks. OOWIN has been ated by
					solution.	Rational as part of the CRC modeling
DEMO 1	1	1	А сору	of OOWIN is	available in-hou	ise, and has
						been loaded and examined.
Total Score:	31					

Scenario Generation

Enclosure 2 Page 6 of 17

Tool

Evaluation Report

Candidate Version Criterion Score Weight Weighted Score Comments

RATIONAL ROSE 4.0

SCENARIO	8	5	40	Ration	al Rose cle	early demo	enstrates a capability to
						tables	create Jacobson use cases and sequence
						being	which in turn have the capability of
							correlated with object elements.
REPORT CAPAI	BILITY	6	4	24	Rationa	al's "SoDA	" reporting capability allows the
						scenario	user to "capture use cases and s and
						of Rose	relate them to the appropriate elements
						correlati	modelsSoDA can generate tables ng use
						correspo	cases and scenarios and the onding
						capabilit	elements of Rose." This reporting by was
						but was	not demonstrated in the demo version,
						an	outlined in 3.0 documentation. SoDA is
							optional reporting feature.
MODEL TECHN	IIQUES	9	4	36	Rational	l Rose den	nonstrates great flexibility in its
						object	ability to handle different front-line
						UML, aı	modeling techniques OMT, Booch, and
						the fact	Jacobson techniques as witnessed by
						are all o	that Rumbaugh, Booch, and Jacobson
						teaming	the Rational staff. Rational also has a
						developi	agreement with Logicworks, Inc. and is
						well as I	a link between Rose and BPWin as Rose
						the	and ERWin. Rational has incorporated
							features of Logicworks OOWin/CRC.

Scenario Generation

Tool

Candidate	Versio	n Criter	ion	Score	Weight Weighted Score Comments
GRAPHICS	9	3	27	Rationa	l Rose provides excellent graphics support,
					including the capability to present several types
					of UML diagrams such as Use Case Diagrams,
					Class Diagrams, Interaction Diagrams, and
					Component Diagrams all a part of what
					Rational refers to as its "round trip engineering
					(RTE) approach." RTE consists of code
					generation, reverse engineering, design
					differencing, and model merging. Together these
					capabilities allow developers to keep their models
					in-synch with their code throughout the life of a
					project, supporting complete leverage of the OO
					paradigm.
DOCUMENTAT	ION	7	3	21	Rational Rose 3.0 documentation is available for
					inspection in-house. Rational Rose
					documentation for 3.0 comes in a typical package
					consisting of Application Notes, a Methods Guide,
					and a document called "Round Trip Engineering
					using C++."
COST 4	3	12	A copy	of Ration	nal Rose/C++ 4.0 and SoDA
					combined costs appx \$4400.00. Rose/C++ costs
					\$2400.00 and SoDA (optional) costs \$1995.00.
EASE OF USE	3	2	6	While a	pparently very capable in its ability to

model using Booch, OMT, and UML notation, one of the negatives involving Rational Rose is its complexity and inability to be used quickly by the inexperienced user. The demonstration version does not give the user an increasingly warm feeling in this area. However, ease of use is something one sometimes sacrifices in favor of capability.

Enclosure 2 Page 8 of 17

Scenario Generation

Tool

Candidate	Version	n Criteri	on	Score	Weight	Weighted Score Comments
CUST SUPPORT	7	2	14	The inte	rface with	customer support during this
						effort involved e-mail exchange followed by
						phone calls from the company. Follow-up from
						Rational was responsive.
IMPORT 8	2	16	Because	Rational	Rose is cor	nstructed around C++,
						and Object Domain the product used to create
						the existing model creates C++ code, it would
						appear that the existing model could be "easily"
						imported into Rational Rose.
PLATFORM	7	2	14	Informa	tion receiv	ed to date indicates appropriate
						platform flexibility, including all Windows and
						NT platforms.
DEMO 6	1	6	The Ro	ose 4.0 den	nonstration	involved a
						downloaded limited version of the full-blown
						product. The demo copy was informative,
						although the "walkthrough" was a bit difficult to
						follow. The demo did include instruction on how

to create use case diagrams and sequence diagrams.

The sequence diagram, as Rose calls it, is the event trace diagram used in the creation of the high level scenarios.

Total Score: 216

Enclosure 2 Page 9 of 17

Scenario Generation

Tool

Candidate	Versio	on Crite	erion	Score	Weight Weighted Score Comments
RETHINK U	nknown				
SCENARIO	4	5	20	Rethin	k demonstrates both a scenario and
					real-time analysis capability. Apparently does not
					support OMT, Booch, Jacobson, and/or UML
					techniques, thus limiting its value in the TENA
					context.
MODEL TECHN	NIQUES	1	4	4	Rethink uses proprietary modeling techniques to
					graphically model real-time software development
					projects.
REPORT CAPA	BILITY	3	4	12	Reporting capabilities exist. Based on information
					on the web, Rethink reporting techniques are
					apparently not applicable to TENA requirements.
COST 1	3	3 No	pricing in	formation a	vailable.
GRAPHICS	8	3	24	Based	on information derived from the homepage,
					RETHINK appears to have a very impressive
					graphics capability when used in support of the
					proper application.
DOCUMENTAT	TION	5	3	15	Documentation investigated centered around the

web page. Difficult to evaluate, but other factors likely preclude the product as a valid candidate.

EASE OF USE 6 2 12 Based on information derived from the web page,

RETHINK appears to be reasonably straightforward when used in support of the proper application.

CUST SUPPORT 3 2 6 Customer support, specifically solicitation of pricing information, has been unresponsive to

date.

Enclosure 2 Page 10 of 17

Scenario Generation

Tool

Evaluation Report

Candidate	Version	n Criterio	on S	core '	Weight	Weighted Score	Comments
PLATFORM	8	2	16 I	Informatio	on receiv	red to date indicates	appropriate
						platform flexibility	, including all Windows and
						NT platforms as we	ll as UNIX.
IMPORT 1	2	2	Based on i	nformatio	on availa	ble on the web,	
						Rethink apparently	does not support OMT,
						Booch, or UML tec	hniques. Unlikely to be
						compatible with exi	sting model.
DEMO 1	1	1	No demon	stration c	opy of R	ETHINK was locate	d.
Total Score:	115						
Enclosure 2	Page 11	of 17					

Scenario Generation

Tool

Candidate	Version	1 Criterio	on	Score	Weight	t Weighted Score Comments
SYSTEM	4.0					
ARCHITECT						
SCENARIO	8	5	40	Based o	n the web	demonstration, the ability to
						create Jacobson use cases and OMT event trace
						diagrams appears to be robust and well integrated.
MODEL TECHNI	QUES	8	4	32	System	Architect demonstrates great flexibility in
						its ability to handle different front-line object
						modeling techniques OMT, Booch, UML, and
						Jacobson techniques. Also supports event trace
						diagrams. As an added feature, apparently has a
						nice interface (additional cost) to data models
						(IDEF1X & IE) and process models (IDEF0).
REPORT CAPAB	ILITY	6	4	24	Based or	n available information, reporting
						capability appears to be standard.
COST 8	3	24	Inexpen	sive based	on the fa	act that the TENA
						project already owns a registered copy. The
						upgrade from our existing version 3.1a to version
						4.0 costs less that \$1000.00.
DOCUMENTATIO	ON	7	3	21	Docume	entation investigated includes web page
						information and the help file available with the
						demonstration product. Version 3.1a
						documentation is available in NUWC and will be
						shipped to Fort Huachuca for inspection.

o, graphical	GRAPHICS 8 3 24 B				
representation of class diagrams, use	case				
diagrams, event trace diagrams, and	transition				
state diagrams appear robust and well	integrated.				

Enclosure 2 Page 12 of 17

Scenario Generation

Tool

Candidate	Version	ı Criteri	on	Score	Weight Weighted Score Comments
CUST SUPPORT	7	2	14	The inte	rface with customer support during this
					effort involved e-mail exchange followed by
					phone calls from the company. Follow-up from
					Popkin was responsive.
EASE OF USE	7	2	14	Based or	n the web demonstration, the product
					appears to be straightforward and offers the
					typical Windows type features.
PLATFORM	6	2	12	Informa	tion received to date indicates appropriate
					platform flexibility, including all Windows and
					NT platforms.
IMPORT 8	2	16	Because	System A	architect is constructed around
					C++, and Object Domain the product used to
					create the existing model creates C++ code, it
					would appear that the existing model could be
					"easily" imported into System Architect.
DEMO 8	1	8	The dea	mo was in	pressive. While a demo
					CD-ROM was supposed to be sent via mail and was
					not (due to unavailability), the major features of

System Architect were demonstrated via animated walkthroughs downloaded from the web. They were complemented with audio instruction and were easy to follow and entertaining.

Total Score: 229

Enclosure 2 Page 13 of 17

Scenario Generation

Tool

Candidate	Versio	n Criteri	ion	Score	Weight Weighted Score Comments
TOGETHER/O	C++	2.1			
SCENARIO	4	5	20	Appare	ently, only Coad formatted scenarios are
					available which somewhat limits scenario
					generation capabilities.
REPORT CAPAI	BILITY	5	4	20	Together/C++ features standard reporting
					capabilities. Not as impressive as some of its
					competitors.
MODEL TECHN	IQUES	7	4	28	Together supports OMT, Unified, and Coad.
					Scenarios are only available in Coad format. Not
					as capable in this area as some of its competitors.
GRAPHICS	8	3	24	Based o	on the web page and demonstration version,
					OMT, UML, and COAD design techniques appear
					to be well portrayed graphically.
DOCUMENTAT	ION	7	3	21	The evaluation of Together documentation
					primarily centers around information available
					from the web. Documentation, including the help
					facility, seems robust.
COST 1	3	3	After 1	nultiple ph	one attempts and e-mail

messages, no pricing information is available to date.

EASE OF USE 8 2 16 Based on information available on the web, the product appears to be graphically oriented and

easy to use.

CUST SUPPORT 2 2 4 Customer support has been very non-responsive.

Delays in providing requested background information and costs have been routine. No costing information has been made available.

Enclosure 2 Page 14 of 17

Scenario Generation

Tool

Evaluation Report

Candidate	Version	n Criteri	on	Score	Weight	Weighted Score	Comments
PLATFORM	6	2	12	The produ	uct appare	ently runs on all Win	dows
						platforms.	
IMPORT 6	2	12	Because	e Together/C	C++ is con	nstructed around C++	⊦,
						and Object Domain	the product used to create
						the existing model -	creates C++ code, it would
						appear that the exist	ting model could be "easily"
						imported into Toge	ther/C++.
DEMO 7	1	7	A limit	ed version i	is availab	le for download from	ı
						the web. Together/O	C++ features a robust tutorial
						provided as part of	the help feature.

Total Score: 167

Enclosure 2 Page 15 of 17

Scenario Generation

Tool

Evaluation Report

Candidate	Version	- Criteri	on	Score	Weight Weighted Score Comments
VISIO 5.0					
SCENARIO SCENARIO	1	5	5	Docume	entation review indicates no capability to script scenarios or event trace diagrams.
REPORT CAPABI	ILITY	2	4	8	VISIO does not support Jacobson Use Case or scenario techniques. While reporting capabilities do exist, the scenario scripting goal does not
MODEL TECHNIO	QUES	2	4	8	appear to be supported. VISIO uses proprietary modeling techniques which do not include Booch, OMT, or Jacobson diagramming capabilities.
COST 7	3	21	Inexpen	sive. A si	ngle copy of VISIO Professional
GRAPHICS	7	3	21	As the I	costs \$275.00. name implies, VISIO is very graphically oriented. It's drawing palette appears to be nicely
DOCUMENTATIO	ON	5	3	15	laid out. This is its strongest characteristic. VISIO 4.5 documentation is robust and consists of: "Introduction," "Developing VISIO Solutions," and
EASE OF USE	5	2	10	The VIS	"Using VISIO." IO documentation indicates that VISIO models are very graphically oriented, and
CUST SUPPORT	5	2	10	Interface	consequently appear to be reasonably easy to use. e with customer support has not been required to date.

Enclosure 2 Page 16 of 17

Scenario Generation

Tool

Evaluation Report

Candidate Version Criterion Score Weight Weighted Score Comments

IMPORT 1 2 Based on in-house documentation and web

information, Visio features no OMT, Booch, or

UML capability. The product is unlikely to be

compatible with the existing TENA model.

PLATFORM 5 2 10 Visio appears to run on the appropriate range of

platforms, including Intel 586 running Windows.

DEMO 5 1 5 A copy of VISIO is available in-house, and has

been loaded and examined.

Total Score: 115

Enclosure 2 Page 17 of 17

Scenario Generation

Tool

Features Report

Name Version Strong Features Weak Features

OBJECT DOMAIN 1.19

The strongest feature of Object Domain is that it is the Object Domain's weakest feature seems to be its inability product that has been used to implement the existing model. to represent use cases and scenarios appropriately

Additionally, the product is very inexpensive. satisfy TENA goals.

OBJECTIME 5.0

to

ObjecTime's graphic presentation capability was its strongest development Designed to support real-time software

feature. projects, ObjecTime does not use any of the standard

object modeling techniques. As a result, conversion from

the existing TENA model to ObjecTime will be laborious

at best. Also, the product is prohibitively expensive.

OOWIN 1.0

OOWIN is no longer marketed by Logicworks, Inc. It's CRC

OOWIN is not a serious candidate to accomplish

TENA

features have been incorporated as part of Rational Rose. goals.

RATIONAL ROSE 4.0

Rational Rose uses the Rumbaugh, Booch, and Jacobson Rational Rose is reasonably complex. Some learning modeling techniques; in fact, Rumbaugh, Booch, and curve will be involved to effectively use the product.

Jacobson are employed by the company. The product's

graphics capabilities appear to be impressive. Documentation

is solid. Customer assistance was very responsive.

several attempts, no pricing information was available.

RETHINK Unknown

Rethink is a highly graphically oriented product which Rethink does not support Rumbaugh, Booch, and Jacobson appears to be reasonably easy to use. modeling techniques. No demonstration was located to try it out. Customer support was unimpressive. After

SYSTEM ARCHITECT 4.0

System Architect makes good use of the standard modeling Object modeling capabilities are new to the

product;

process

techniques. It's excellent demonstration program and prompt

System Architect has evolved from the data and

vendor-supplied literature indicated that its graphics and modeling world.

scenario generation capabilities would satisfy TENA goals.

Additionally, the TENA team already owns a previous

version of System Architect, so upgrading to the current

capabilities will be reasonably inexpensive.

Enclosure 3 Page 1 of 2

Scenario Generation

Tool

Features Report

Name Version Strong Features Weak Features

TOGETHER/C++ 2.1

Together/C++ is a highly graphically oriented product which support are

appears to be reasonably easy to use. weak.

VISIO 5.0

As the name implies, VISIO's graphics capabilities are its VISIO does not support standard object modeling and strongest feature. design techniques. Additionally, VISIO does not provide scenario generation capabilities required to support TENA requirements.

Enclosure 3 Page 2 of 2